Amendments to the Claims

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

1	Claim 1 (cancelled).
1	Claim 2 (cancelled).
1	3. (previously presented) The method of claim 18, wherein at least
2	one of the calibrated performance score and the input are provided over a
3	communication network.
1	4. (previously presented) The method of claim 18, wherein the
2	interactions are based on an analysis frequency that is determined at least one of
3	arbitrarily and as requested by the business.
1	5. (previously presented) The method of claim 18, wherein at least
2	one of the interactions is analyzed for quality of service for the agent per day.
1	6. (previously presented) The method of claim 18, further
2	comprising:
3	providing a data report with the calibrated performance score.
1	7. (previously presented) The method of claim 6, wherein the repor
2	data further comprises at least one of:
3	an agent performance element that could be improved; and
4	an agent performance element that was well performed.
1	8. (previously presented) The method of claim 6, wherein the repor
2	data further comprises a training tip for the agent based on analyzing the agent's
3	interactions.
1	Claim 9 (cancelled).

1	Claim 10 (cancelled).
1 2	11. (previously presented) The method of claim 18, wherein the interactions comprise telephone calls.
1	12. (previously presented) The method of claim 18, wherein the
2	interactions comprise electronic messages.
1	Claim 13 (cancelled).
1	14. (previously presented) The method of claim 18, wherein the
2	interactions occur in an area within the United States of America and the analysis
3	of the interactions occur in at least one of a different area within the United States
4	of America and an area that is external to the United States of America.
1	Claim 15 (cancelled).
1	16. (previously presented) The method of claim 18, wherein the
2	interactions occur in an area external to the United States of America and the
3	analysis of the interactions occur in at least one of a different area external to the
4	United States of America and an area within the United States of America.
1	Claim 17 (cancelled).
1	18. (currently amended) A method for providing calibrated evaluations
2	of agent performance, wherein all steps are performed by a computer, comprising
3	providing interactions between an agent employed by a business and a
4	customer of the business to analysts for assigning scores to a performance of the
5	agent during one of the interactions, wherein at least one of the interactions is
6	commonly provided to the analysts;
7	analyzing the performance scores provided by the analysts and
8	determining for each analyst, a total performance score for the commonly-
9	provided interaction via a scoring algorithm;

10	comparing each of the total performance scores associated with the
11	analysts with a standard score determined by another employee of the business to
12	identify a deviation between each of the total performance scores;
13	providing feedback to each of the analysts, the feedback comprising that
14	analyst's deviation from the standard score;
15	adjusting for one or more analysts, a scoring criteria in response to the
16	feedback based on the associated deviation, comprising:
17	if the deviation associated with at least one of the analysts is not
18	within an acceptable range of deviation then repeat the submitting providing,
19	analyzing, and comparing steps for that analyst; and
20	if the deviation associated with one or more of the analysts is
21	within the acceptable range then the at least one or more analysts are considered
22	calibrated analysts;
23	randomly sampling the provided interactions for distributing to the
24	calibrated analysts, wherein the interactions occur in a first geographical area and
25	the interactions are analyzed in a second geographical area by the calibrated
26	analysts to produce a calibrated performance score based on a determination of
27	the performance rendered by the agent to the at least one customer;
28	sending the calibrated performance score to the business and transmitting
29	input from the business, wherein the input is based on feedback generated by the
30	agent in response to the calibrated performance score;
31	recalculating the standard score based on the input from the business as a
32	recalculated standard score, comprising:
33	if the deviations associated with one or more of the analysts are not
34	within an acceptable range of deviation from the recalculated standard score then
35	repeating the submitting providing, analyzing, and comparing steps;
36	if the deviations associated with one or more of the analysts are
37	within the acceptable range of deviation from the recalculated score, then the one
38	or more analysts are considered recalibrated analysts.
1	Claim 19 (cancelled).

1	20.	(previously presented) The method of claim 18, wherein the
2	acceptable rai	nge of deviation is established by the business.
1	21.	(previously presented) The method of claim 18, wherein the
2	acceptable rai	nge is expressed as the deviation between an individual analyst's
3	-	formance scores.
1	22.	(previously presented) The method of claim 21, wherein the
2	deviation is e	xpressed as a percentage of deviation from the standard score.
1	23.	(previously presented) The method of claim 18, wherein the
2	commonly-pr	rovided interaction and the acceptable range of deviation are
3		an anonymous transaction simulation.
	24	(previously presented) The method of claim 23, wherein the
1	24.	
2	•	ransaction simulation is designed to test a parameter selected from
3	the group cor	nsisting of a particular category of a transaction, a training update,
4	and a unique	customer interaction scenario.
1	Clain	as 25-43 (cancelled).
1	44.	(previously presented) The method of claim 18, wherein the
2	interactions e	each consist of at least one of voice data and other data.
1	Clain	ns 45-88 (cancelled).
1	89.	(previously presented) The method of claim 18, wherein wages in
2	the second g	eographic area are less than wages in the first geographical area to
3	_	ge attenuation.
	90.	(currently amended) An apparatus for providing calibrated
1		
2		of agent performance, comprising:
3		nmunications network to provide interactions between an agent
4	employed by	a business and a customer of the business to analysts for assigning

5	scores to a performance of the agent during one of the interactions, wherein at
6	least one of the interactions is commonly provided to the analysts; and
7	a processor configured to:
8	analyze the performance scores provided by the analysts and to
9	determine for each analyst, a total performance score for the commonly-provided
10	interaction via a scoring algorithm;
11	compare each of the total performance scores associated with the
12	analysts with a standard score determined by another employee of the business to
13	identify a deviation between each of the total performance scores;
14	provide feedback to each of the analysts, the feedback comprising
15	that analyst's deviation from the standard score;
16	adjust for one or more analysts, a scoring criteria in response to the
17	feedback based on the associated deviation, comprising if the deviation associated
18	with at least one of the analysts is not within an acceptable range of deviation then
19	repeat the submitting providing, analyzing and comparing steps for that analyst,
20	and if the deviation associated with one or more of the analysts is within the
21	acceptable range then the at least one or more analysts are considered calibrated
22	analysts;
23	randomly sample the provided interactions for distributing to the
24	calibrated analysts, wherein the interactions occur in a first geographical area and
25	the interactions are analyzed in a second geographical area by the calibrated
26	analysts to produce a calibrated performance score based on a determination of
27	the performance rendered by the agent to the at least one customer;
28	send the calibrated performance score to the business and
29	transmitting input from the business, wherein the input is based on feedback
30	generated by the agent in response to the calibrated performance score; and
31	recalculate the standard score based on the input from the business
32	as a recalculated standard score, comprising if the deviations associated with one
33	or more of the analysts are not within an acceptable range of deviation from the
34	recalculated standard score then repeating the submitting providing, analyzing and
35	comparing steps, and if the deviations associated with one or more of the analysts

are within the acceptable range of deviation from the recalculated score, then the 36 one or more analysts are considered recalibrated analysts. 37 (previously presented) The apparatus of claim 90, wherein at least 91. 1 one of the calibrated performance score and the input are provided over a 2 communication network. 3 (previously presented) The apparatus of claim 90, wherein the 92. 1 interactions are based on an analysis frequency that is determined at least one of 2 arbitrarily and as requested by the business. 3 (previously presented) The apparatus of claim 90, wherein at least 93. 1 one of the interactions is analyzed for quality of service for the agent per day. 2 (previously presented) The apparatus of claim 90, further 94. 1 2 comprising: provide a data report with the calibrated performance score. 3 (previously presented) The apparatus of claim 94, wherein the 95. 1 report data further comprises at least one of: 2 an agent performance element that could be improved; and 3 an agent performance element that was well performed. 4 (previously presented) The apparatus of claim 94, wherein the 96. 1 report data further comprises a training tip for the agent based on analyzing the 2 agent's interactions. 3 (previously presented) The apparatus of claim 90, wherein the 97. 1 interactions comprise telephone calls. 2 (previously presented) The apparatus of claim 90, wherein the 1 98. interactions comprise electronic messages. 2 (previously presented) The apparatus of claim 90, wherein the

interactions occur in an area within the United States of America and the analysis

99.

1

2

- of the interactions occur in at least one of a different area within the United States of America and an area that is external to the United States of America.
- 1 100. (previously presented) The apparatus of claim 90, wherein the
- 2 interactions occur in an area external to the United States of America and the
- 3 analysis of the interactions occur in at least one of a different area external to the
- 4 United States of America and an area within the United States of America.
- 1 101. (previously presented) The apparatus of claim 90, wherein the 2 acceptable range of deviation is established by the business.
- 1 102. (previously presented) The apparatus of claim 90, wherein the 2 acceptable range is expressed as the deviation between an individual analyst's 3 calibrated performance scores.
 - 103. (previously presented) The apparatus of claim 90, wherein the deviation is expressed as a percentage of deviation from the standard score.

1

2

- 1 104. (previously presented) The apparatus of claim 90, wherein the 2 commonly-provided interaction and the acceptable range of deviation are 3 provided by an anonymous transaction simulation.
- 1 105. (previously presented) The apparatus of claim 90, wherein the 2 anonymous transaction simulation is designed to test a parameter selected from 3 the group consisting of a particular category of a transaction, a training update, 4 and a unique customer interaction scenario.
- 1 106. (previously presented) The apparatus of claim 90, wherein wages 2 in the second geographic area are less than wages in the first geographical area to 3 generate wage attenuation.
- 1 107. (previously presented) The apparatus of claim 90, wherein the interactions each consist of at least one of voice data and other data.

1	108. (currently amended) A non-transitory computer-readable storage
2	medium containing computer executable instructions stored therein, which when
3	executed by a computer, causing said computer to implement the method for
4	providing calibrated evaluations of agent performance, comprising:
5	providing interactions between an agent employed by a business and a
6	customer of the business to analysts for assigning scores to a performance of the
7	agent during one of the interactions, wherein at least one of the interactions is
8	commonly provided to the analysts;
9	analyzing the performance scores provided by the analysts and
10	determining for each analyst, a total performance score for the commonly-
11	provided interaction via a scoring algorithm;
12	comparing each of the total performance scores associated with the
13	analysts with a standard score determined by another employee of the business to
14	identify a deviation between each of the total performance scores;
15	providing feedback to each of the analysts, the feedback comprising that
16	analyst's deviation from the standard score;
17	adjusting for one or more analysts, a scoring criteria in response to the
18	feedback based on the associated deviation, comprising:
19	if the deviation associated with at least one of the analysts is not
20	within an acceptable range of deviation then repeat the submitting providing,
21	analyzing, and comparing steps for that analyst; and
22	if the deviation associated with one or more of the analysts is
23	within the acceptable range then the at least one or more analysts are considered
24	calibrated analysts;
25	randomly sampling the provided interactions for distributing to the
26	calibrated analysts, wherein the interactions occur in a first geographical area and
27	the interactions are analyzed in a second geographical area by the calibrated
28	analysts to produce a calibrated performance score based on a determination of
29	the performance rendered by the agent to the at least one customer;

30	sending the calibrated performance score to the business and transmitting
31	input from the business, wherein the input is based on feedback generated by the
32	agent in response to the calibrated performance score;
33	recalculating the standard score based on the input from the business as a
34	recalculated standard score, comprising:
35	if the deviations associated with one or more of the analysts are not
36	within an acceptable range of deviation from the recalculated standard score then
37	repeating the submitting providing, analyzing, and comparing steps;
38	if the deviations associated with one or more of the analysts are
39	within the acceptable range of deviation from the recalculated score, then the one
40	or more analysts are considered recalibrated analysts.
1	109. (previously presented) The non-transitory computer-readable
2	storage medium of claim 108, wherein at least one of the calibrated performance
3	score and the input are provided over a communication network.
1	110. (previously presented) The non-transitory computer-readable
2	storage medium of claim 108, wherein the interactions are based on an analysis
3	frequency that is determined at least one of arbitrarily and as requested by the
4	business.
•	
1	111. (previously presented) The non-transitory computer-readable
2	storage medium of claim 108, wherein at least one of the interactions is analyzed
3	for quality of service for the agent per day.
1	112. (previously presented) The non-transitory computer-readable
2	storage medium of claim 108, further comprising:
3	providing a data report with the calibrated performance score.
1	113. (previously presented) The non-transitory computer-readable
2	storage medium of claim 112, wherein the report data further comprises at least
3	one of:
1	an agent performance element that could be improved; and

1	114. (previously presented) The non-transitory computer-readable
2	storage medium of claim 112, wherein the report data further comprises a training
3	tip for the agent based on analyzing the agent's interactions.
	2) Till and the second
1	115. (previously presented) The non-transitory computer-readable
2	storage medium of claim 108, wherein the interactions comprise telephone calls.
1	116. (previously presented) The non-transitory computer-readable
2	storage medium of claim 108, wherein the interactions comprise electronic
3	messages.
5	messages.
1	117. (previously presented) The non-transitory computer-readable
2	storage medium of claim 108, wherein the interactions occur in an area within the
3	United States of America and the analysis of the interactions occur in at least one
4	of a different area within the United States of America and an area that is external
5	to the United States of America.
	1) Till
1	118. (previously presented) The non-transitory computer-readable
2	storage medium of claim 108, wherein the interactions occur in an area external to
3	the United States of America and the analysis of the interactions occur in at least
4	one of a different area external to the United States of America and an area within
5	the United States of America.
1	119. (previously presented) The non-transitory computer-readable
1	storage medium of claim 108, wherein the acceptable range of deviation is
2	-
3	established by the business.
1	120. (previously presented) The non-transitory computer-readable
2	storage medium of claim 108, wherein the acceptable range is expressed as the
3	deviation between an individual analyst's calibrated performance scores.

an agent performance element that was well performed.

5

1	121. (previously presented) The non-transitory computer-readable
2	storage medium of claim 108, wherein the deviation is expressed as a percentage
3	of deviation from the standard score.
1	122. (previously presented) The non-transitory computer-readable
	storage medium of claim 108, wherein the commonly-provided interaction and
2	•
3	the acceptable range of deviation are provided by an anonymous transaction
4	simulation.
1	123. (previously presented) The non-transitory computer-readable
2	storage medium of claim 108, wherein the anonymous transaction simulation is
3	designed to test a parameter selected from the group consisting of a particular
4	category of a transaction, a training update, and a unique customer interaction
5	scenario.
1	124. (previously presented) The non-transitory computer-readable
2	storage medium of claim 108, wherein wages in the second geographic area are
3	less than wages in the first geographical area to generate wage attenuation.
1	125. (previously presented) The non-transitory computer-readable
2	storage medium of claim 108, wherein the interactions each consist of at least one
3	of voice data and other data.